

A STUDY OF SALT POLLUTION OF SOIL
BY HIGHWAY SALTING

George A. Prior
Assistant Professor

Paul M. Berthouex
Assistant Professor

Report No. JHR 67-9

January 1967

INTRODUCTION

Heavy applications of sand-salt mixtures to highways for snow and ice removal are common in New England, where several tons of salt per mile may be applied during a winter. The fate of these quantities of salt after being plowed or splashed from the highway is important. How does this salt affect the environment near the highway? This report provides general answers regarding changes in soil salinity during the course of a year.

The salt applied to a highway must either be carried by surface runoff into streams or by infiltration into the ground. Once salt-bearing water has infiltrated, the salt may become associated with the soil grains by adsorption or ion-exchange, or it may remain in solution. Excessive salt infiltration might cause a reduction in soil fertility with resulting damage to plants, and an increase of salts in the ground water to a level where shallow wells produce unpalatable water.

The fact that plants are unable to thrive in highly saline soils is well established and information for many species of plants and different soil conditions is recorded in agricultural literature. This information is of great value to engineers dealing with problems related to salts. Although some results from this study were referred to existing data on plant tolerance to salts, no attempt was made to supplement this type of information.

The main problem in highway deicing is "How much salt may be applied without interfering with the environment?" Many related questions must also be answered: What concentrations of salt occur in soils near highways?

LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Many researchers have investigated salt with respect to its effect on plants and its occurrence and movement in soil. In considering the effects on plants, the total soluble salt content or salinity is of importance as well as the quantity of the various ionic species. Symptoms of injury would include foliar coloration, marginal leaf scorch and defoliation and death of the tree. These symptoms are similar regardless of whether injury is due to drought, salinity, sodium or chloride.^{1, 2} This, along with the fact that salt gives rise to salinity, sodium and chloride, makes it difficult to determine the extent of damage caused by each factor.

Salinity

Salinity interferes with the mechanism by which a plant absorbs moisture from the soil.^{2, 3, 4} Since water enters the root through a membrane, an osmotic pressure gradient is established across the membrane, the magnitude of which depends on the difference in salt concentration on either side of the membrane. The direction of the gradient is such as to cause water to flow naturally across the membrane towards the higher salt concentration. Increasing salinity in the soil, therefore, makes it increasingly more difficult for water to be taken in by the plant. Osmotic pressures up to 17 atmospheres have been reported.³

Since osmotic pressure is a concentration phenomena, the effect of a given quantity of salt per unit weight of soil depends on the moisture content of the soil. The salts become more concentrated, and therefore

more detrimental, as the wilting percent moisture is approached. The wilting percentage of a sandy soil is lower than that of a finer textured soil. At the wilting percentage, a given quantity of salt is more harmful in a coarse textured soil.^{2, 4, 5}

Jackson⁴ has presented a salinity scale, Figure 1, which classifies the salinity of a soil according to the specific conductance and percentage of salts in the saturation extract of the soil. The percent moisture at saturation is four times the wilting percent moisture, so the salinity scale makes salinity comparisons in different textured soils more meaningful. Figure 1 also gives the crops suited to various salinity ranges and some of the effects on crops sensitive to salinity. Button² has indicated salt tolerances which are similar to those given in Figure 1. Others have also indicated the relative tolerance of various tree species.^{1, 2, 3, 6} In addition to the immediate damage of saline soils, there is evidence that low salinity may cause small but cumulative damage to trees over a period of years.² In general, other factors being equal, growth is retarded by increasing salinity due to lack of water or, conversely, more water is required to obtain similar growth characteristics.^{3, 7}

Sodium

The sodium ion has two characteristics of importance. It changes the character of the soil and has a toxic effect on plants.

Soil has the ability to adsorb cations from solution, with the clay particles having the greatest capacity to do so. The adsorbed ions are

FIGURE 1 SALINITY SCALE*

SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE OF THE SATURATED EXTRACT OF SOIL, MICROMHOS/CM

	0	2000	4000	8000	16000
Nonsaline					
Salinity effects mostly negligible		Yields of very sensitive crops may be restricted	Yield of many crops restricted. Alfafa, cotton sugar beets, cereals, and grain sorghums adapted.	Only tolerant crops yield satisfactory. Bare spots because of injury to germination.	Only a few very tolerant crops yield satisfactory. Only salt tolerant grasses, herbaceous plants, shrubs, and trees grow.

0 0.1 0.3 0.5 1.0

PERCENTAGE OF SALTS IN MOISTURE SATURATION EXTRACT

*Reproduced from M. L. Jackson, SOIL CHEMICAL ANALYSIS, (C) 1958. By permission of Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J.

is excluded, depending on the type of cover, type of soil, and rate of freezing.¹¹ Almost normal infiltration was found in forest soil frozen to a depth of four inches, while corn and pasture areas showed almost no infiltration when frozen to a similar depth. Heavy textured soil gave less infiltration when frozen than light textured soil. Slow freezing is conducive to the formation of an ice with low permeability.

After a seven year study, Holmes⁶ observed no injury to trees where salt was applied only during the winter, concluding that most of the salt was removed with surface runoff and didn't penetrate the frozen soil. Grass died in some areas, however, apparently as a result of the salting.

Salt Movement in Soil

Salts are carried from one point to another by the movement of soil moisture.¹² As previously indicated, frost formation may, to various degrees, impede the movement of water through the frozen layer. The frozen surface has been found to have a higher moisture content than unfrozen soil beneath.¹¹ This is the result of moisture moving up to the frozen area from the lower layers.

In non-frozen soil the direction of moisture and salt movements depend on the moisture content of the soil.¹² With moisture at or near field capacity, movement is towards the ground water. With low moisture conditions, moisture moves to the surface and evaporates. When the water table is near the surface, large quantities of water evaporate from the soil surface. When water moves to the surface to evaporate or is transpired, salt moves upward also and accumulates near the surface.^{3, 5, 12} The rate of upward movement depends on the soil

SITE LOCATION AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE

All soil samples were obtained from an area along Connecticut Route 15 (Wilbur Cross Parkway), a four-lane, divided highway. A map of this area is shown in Figure 2.

Since this highway is a primary route, it receives heavy salt applications. The area sampled is relatively flat, at the same elevation as the pavement, and sparsely covered with grass. These conditions enabled the procurement of soil samples which contained salt originating from the highway, but unbiased by abnormal runoff or ponding. The soil in this area is a sandy loam. Although soil type is considered an important variable in soil salinity problems, no special effort was made to obtain any particular type of soil. The accessibility of a level site along Route 15 largely determined the site location. This site is not representative of most Connecticut roadside areas because most areas are not level. In order to have confidence that only the adjoining paved area was contributing salt to the sampling area, a level site was considered desirable.

Samples were taken from different depths at several distances from the highway in order to observe the movement of salt away from the highway and into the ground. Initially, samples were taken at distances of 25, 50, and 100 feet from the edge of the paved shoulder. After observing that most of the salt was transported less than fifty feet from the pavement edge, an additional sampling point was established five feet from the edge of the shoulder. At each of these four locations, samples were collected at the soil surface and at depths of 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 feet.




FIG. 2. Sampling Location on Route 15 in Northeastern Connecticut

Fifteen sets of samples were collected between January 4 and April 27, 1966, and three additional sets of samples were collected in October, 1966. Three snowfalls and salt applications had occurred before the first samples were obtained in January. The samples collected in October, 1966, are useful as a base of comparison. It is expected that conditions during the fall of 1965 were quite similar to those during the fall of 1966. Of course, long term field observations would be required to determine whether or not the salts decrease during the spring and summer to the same level each year.

Samples were excavated in two ways. At first a split spoon sampler was used, but this was difficult to handle and extremely time consuming. Also, in order to obtain a sufficiently large sample for the chemical analyses, it was necessary to use soil from several inches above and below the desired depth. A six inch power auger was later used to dig a three foot deep hole. The sides of the hole were brushed to remove disturbed soil and the samples were then taken from the sidewall. This method was faster and easier, and samples of any desired size could be collected. Samples were transported and stored in covered, unwaxed paper cups.

All holes were refilled after the samples had been collected in order to preserve the original drainage pattern in the area. Sampling on subsequent days required moving parallel to the highway to a location several feet from any refilled holes. Visual examination of the samples indicated that the same type soil was always obtained.

Collecting a set of twenty samples consumed about eight man hours when the power auger was used.

SOIL CHEMICAL ANALYSES

All soil samples were analyzed for total soluble salt, chloride, and sodium. The techniques used resulted in measurement of the salt present in both the soil and the soil water when the samples were collected.

Soil salinity is commonly used by agriculturalists to indicate the total soluble salt level, and the salt tolerance of plants is specified in terms of salinity. Since the salts applied to highways are sodium chloride and calcium chloride, the chloride ion serves as a convenient tracer and is used here as a measure of the salt originating from the highway. There is evidence that both cation concentration and cation type in soil are critical. Specifically, the sodium ion has been shown harmful to plants. Most soils show a tendency to exchange normal cations such as calcium for sodium. Therefore, sodium ion concentration was also measured.

Total Soluble Salt

Total soluble salt was measured using a Betz Conducto-Bridge, Model X 50. This instrument measures the ability of a solution to conduct a current. As the soluble salt concentration is increased, the solution becomes a more effective conductor. The specific conductance of a solution is the conductance that would be measured at 25 degrees Centigrade between electrodes one square centimeter in cross section and placed one centimeter apart. The units associated with this measurement, as indicated by the instrument, are micromhos per centimeter. A temperature adjusting circuit is part of the meter so all results are in terms of 25^oC.

The Conducto-Bridge must be calibrated against standard solutions of sodium chloride. A typical calibration curve is shown in Figure 3. The instrument calibration was checked frequently. The electrodes required replatinizing (recoating) occasionally to retain proper instrument response.

Basically, the total soluble salt measurement consisted of agitating a mixture of 100 milliliters of distilled water and 50 grams of soil to dissolve the salts, filtering this mixture, and measuring the conductance of the filtrate.¹³ A few of the early samples were too small to permit the use of a 50 gram sample. In such cases a 35 gram soil sample was agitated with 70 ml distilled water. At least 70 ml of filtrate was needed to submerge the conductivity electrode to the required extent. With the aid of the calibration curve the conductance reading was converted to a total soluble salt concentration as milligrams of sodium chloride per kilogram of soil, or parts sodium chloride per million parts soil (ppm). A more detailed procedure is presented in Appendix A of this report.

Chloride

The filtrate which was analyzed in the soluble salt test was also used for the chloride analysis. Chloride ion concentration was determined using the Mercuric-Nitrate test as described in Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.¹⁴ This is a rapid, accurate titration technique using mercuric nitrate as a titrant and diphenylcarbozone as an end point indicator. Results are reported as milligrams of chloride per kilogram of soil, or parts chloride per million parts soil (ppm).




FIG 3 Conductivity Meter
Calibration Curve

Sodium

Three methods for sodium analysis were considered: gravimetric analysis, flame photometry, and electrometric. Gravimetric analyses are extremely time consuming and require considerable skill. Work by Bower¹⁵ and Fehrenbacher¹⁶ has shown that the results of flame photometric measurements compare with those made electrometrically using a sodium specific electrode. The sodium electrode method can be used over a wider range of sodium without dilutions, and is simpler and more rapid than a flame photometer. For these reasons the sodium ion concentration was measured using a sodium sensitive electrode.

A Beckman Zeromatic pH Meter was converted to a "sodium meter" by replacing the hydrogen sensitive electrode with a Beckman 39137 Cationic Electrode which is sensitive to sodium ions.

This electrode is effective over a concentration range of 1.0 to 0.0001 Normal, a temperature range of zero to eighty degrees Centigrade, and a pH range of 9 to 13. The reference electrode is the standard saturated KCl-calomel half-cell with a fiber type salt bridge. The glass sodium electrode tip is sensitive to differences in ionic activity. When connected to the pH meter circuit, the activity difference between the test sodium solution and the reference solution is indicated as a voltage. The meter is calibrated using standard sodium chloride solutions so that the indicated meter voltage may be converted to sodium ion concentration. A typical calibration curve is shown in Figure 4.

The sodium electrode is also sensitive to several monovalent cations other than sodium. Interference from hydrogen ions is easily avoided by




FIG. 4. Sodium Electrode Calibration Curve

adjusting the pH of the sample so that the H^+ concentration is less than one ten-thousandth the sodium concentration to be measured. The pH of all samples analyzed for sodium was adjusted to pH 10.

Sodium was extracted from the soil using a solution of sulfuric and hydrochloric acid. Activated carbon was also added to adsorb organics extracted from the soil.¹⁷ The mixture was agitated and then filtered and the sodium analysis made on the filtrate. A more detailed procedure is presented in Appendix B.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before examining the results of this study, it would be useful to review the salting procedures and quantities of salt applied by the Connecticut State Highway Department. This information was obtained from Mr. J. Bauer at the Department's local headquarters in Willington, Connecticut.

Records are maintained by the crews responsible for snow and ice control, and consist of the cubic yards of sand, tons of salt and the number of salt applications for each storm. The salt application rate used on Route 15 is 800 - 1000 pounds per mile, while secondary routes receive 500 - 600 pounds per mile. Additional salt is also mixed with the sand. The crew responsible for Route 15 at the sampling site is assigned 35.8 miles of the route beginning at exit 100 and extending to the Massachusetts line. The sampling site was between exits 101 and 102. In addition, this crew is responsible for 58.2 miles of secondary roads in the area. Records for total sand and salt used by this crew are shown in Table 1. The sections assigned to each crew are not rigidly fixed and it is possible that at times another crew may have also applied salt at the sampling site.

The salt used is primarily sodium chloride with some calcium chloride being mixed in for use when the temperature is 26^oF or less. Salt is applied at the beginning of a storm and also after the storm when necessary to remove any snow and ice packed on the highway.

As anticipated, the greatest salt concentrations were found near the highway, as shown in Figure 5. Some salt travels more than one hundred

TABLE 1

Salting Record from Connecticut Highway Department

<u>Date</u>	<u>Cubic Yards Sand</u>	<u>Tons Salt</u>	<u>No. Salt Applications</u>
Dec. 20, '65	845	255	
Dec. 26	193	41	
Jan. 2-3, '66	160	270	
Jan. 6	283	47	
Jan. 8-9	522	208	2.5
Jan. 13	207	113	2
Jan. 19	108	57	1
Jan. 21	197	33	1
Jan. 23-24	233	427	5
Jan. 27	216	94	1
Jan. 30	346	209	4
Feb. 3-4-5	387	213	3
Feb. 13	108	57	1
Feb. 16-17	153	27	1
Feb. 19-20	162	18	
Feb. 24-25-26	396	284	4
Mar. 12	256	354	3




FIG. 5. Total Soluble Salt Concentration at Soil Surface
Several Distances From Highway

feet laterally from the highway, even when the ground is very gently sloped. In general, the salt concentrations decrease farther from the highway and the fluctuations in concentration are smaller. After February the tendency for salt to travel laterally even twenty-five feet appears considerably reduced. This was probably the result of lesser amounts of frost allowing more infiltration of snow melt and rain water.

The greatest salt concentrations were at the soil surface regardless of the distance from the highway. The highest salt concentration measured was 450 ppm at the soil surface five feet from the pavement on February 10. Unfortunately, a complete record at the five foot distance was not obtained. The maximum concentration at the other distances occurred in January so concentrations greater than 450 ppm probably had occurred at the five foot distance, but were not observed. The soil saturation moisture percentage was approximately fifty percent for the topsoil and twenty-five percent for the subsoil. Therefore, the maximum observed salt concentration in the saturation extract was 900 mg/l for the topsoil, well within the limit for a nonsaline soil on the salinity scale shown in Figure 1. The highest level recorded in the subsoil was 164 ppm, or 656 mg/l in the saturation extract. The complete total soluble salts data record is presented in Table 2.

By March 1 most of the salt had been leached from the top three feet of soil at distances twenty-five feet or more from the highway. By April 1 this was true five feet from the highway also. After March or April only small changes in salt concentration were observed, as shown in Figures 6 and 7. The concentration at all distances from the highway and depths continued to decrease slightly during the summer. Small fluctuations,

TABLE 2

Total Soluble Salts Concentration, mg NaCl per Kg Soil (ppm)

Date	Depth, feet				
	Top	0.5	1	2	3
5 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66					
1-13-66	No samples taken from this location until 2-10-66				
1-24-66					
1-28-66					
2-10-66	450	270	86	164	110
2-17-66	110	105	105	160	82
2-22-66	125	86	41	110	63
2-24-66	45	99	37	120	52
3- 1-66	158	70	56	57	140
3- 9-66	127	44	62	140	68
3-15-66	320	43	50	56	60
3-23-66	130	110	85	79	60
3-29-66	46	56	62	100	60
4-12-66	91	82	78	75	62
4-27-66	100	125	84	135	97
9-27-66	50	52	55	65	78
10- 4-66	48	60	54	64	58
10- 6-66	60	54	48	44	54
25 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66	201	136	38	58	35
1-13-66	100	105	48	43	48
1-24-66	322	124	-	-	-
1-28-66	410	78	69	34	30
2-10-66	60	45	60	46	37
2-17-66	45	42	38	58	63
2-22-66	50	38	34	34	32
2-24-66	62	50	32	48	45
3- 1-66	50	52	46	34	37
3- 9-66	50	22	30	20	25
3-15-66	56	47	24	32	28
3-23-66	75	60	44	39	32
3-29-66	61	43	35	32	22
4-12-66	60	47	52	36	35
4-27-66	82	44	45	48	45
9-27-66	49	32	32	34	30
10- 4-66	58	37	34	34	33
10- 6-66	45	35	35	29	31

TABLE 2 (continued)

Date	Depth, feet				
	Top	0.5	1	2	3
50 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66	135	44	42	38	36
1-13-66	240	82	42	33	34
1-24-66	164	105	-	-	-
1-28-66	114	64	38	34	68
2-10-66	68	96	52	66	39
2-17-66	63	72	42	87	51
2-22-66	91	54	66	44	35
2-24-66	90	33	30	44	41
3- 1-66	100	67	48	33	56
3- 9-66	56	30	34	35	35
3-15-66	68	39	30	31	38
3-23-66	56	45	35	30	26
3-29-66	68	25	40	29	32
4-12-66	50	35	36	27	-
4-27-66	68	53	50	-	50
9-27-66	48	35	37	36	30
10- 4-66	50	66	40	34	34
10- 6-66	44	30	28	28	30
100 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66	74	-	56	46	56
1-13-66	-	67	60	-	-
1-24-66	150	80	-	-	-
1-28-66	166	108	44	40	39
2-10-66	65	52	93	52	51
2-17-66	130	92	45	122	50
2-22-66	130	128	52	75	42
2-24-66	92	45	43	46	50
3- 1-66	84	50	38	42	38
3- 9-66	51	29	33	35	61
3-15-66	48	34	30	37	35
3-23-66	55	30	30	34	28
3-29-66	61	40	35	38	31
4-12-66	73	46	50	40	25
4-27-66	60	43	35	47	43
9-27-66	50	43	45	48	40
10- 4-66	47	34	32	34	34
10- 6-66	43	33	39	32	34




FIG. 6. Total Soluble Salts at Several Depths 5 ft From Highway




FIG. 7. Total Soluble Salts at Several Depths 50 ft From Highway

such as observed after about April 1, probably reflect the effect of having to obtain samples from a slightly different location each day and are considered insignificant.

Although certain trends were observed, insufficient data were obtained to precisely follow salt movements and establish the rates of movement. To do this would require frequent analyses of soil water obtained from undisturbed soil.

In general, the salt concentration decreased with depth, and the fluctuations in salt concentration were smaller at lower depths. This should be expected since the salt is both diluted and dispersed as it moves through the soil. Samples taken five feet from the highway revealed that the concentration at the two foot depth was often greater than that at the one-half foot and one foot depths. The pattern observed may result from the irregular spacing of salt applications and sampling times. The soil at the two foot depth may absorb salts more readily than other depths, although visually the soil at all depths appeared similar. Differing compaction during construction of the highway may also have resulted in sufficiently different permeabilities to give the results obtained. Most likely a combination of factors result in the salt being rapidly transported to the two foot depth and then gradually dispersed and diluted from there.

During the highway salting season large variations of salt concentration with depth were observed, while at other seasons little variation was found. Figure 8 compares a depth profile observed shortly after a salt application with one existing about six months later, after spring rains and snow melts had leached most of the salts from the soil. On





FIG. 8. Depth Profile of Total Soluble Salt Concentration

February 10 the effects of two salt applications are evident at a distance of five feet from the highway. The salt from the earlier application has been leached below the one foot level and shows as a peak concentration at the two foot depth. A subsequent application has only begun to penetrate the soil and is concentrated mostly at the surface, possibly because of frozen ground conditions. At other distances from the highway the concentration at any depth may be greater or less than that at another depth. Insufficient information is available to define or predict the rates of travel which produced these results, but the observed conditions are in accordance with the observations of Miller, et al¹⁸.

In general, the concentrations of chlorides showed the same fluctuations as the total soluble salts and graphical representations of chloride data would be similar to those already presented. The same trends would be revealed and the same conclusions would be indicated. Complete chloride data are presented in Table C-1 of Appendix C.

Sodium concentration data did not fit the pattern established by the chlorides and total soluble salts. Early in the study some scattered high values of sodium were observed, but not as frequently as in the total soluble salts and chloride data. After mid February, however, the sodium concentration rarely exceeded 25 ppm. This may be related to the exchange of sodium by the soil particles, or to the extraction procedure used in the sodium analysis, but no explanation is evident. The sodium data are presented in Table C-2.

CONCLUSIONS

The maximum concentrations of salts may be found at the soil surface and nearest the highway. These studies indicate that the soil salinity problem resulting from highway salting is minimal for similar field conditions. Adverse drainage conditions, however, could create more serious problems and should be avoided.

There is some tendency for salts to travel laterally away from the highway, but the most prevalent movement is downward into the soil. As infiltration proceeds, the salt concentration is markedly decreased from that at the surface. The salt concentrations in the subsoil are generally decreased as depth increases, but occasionally this pattern may not appear. Salts are readily leached from the top several feet of soil and by April the majority of the salts have disappeared. The salt concentrations continue to decrease gradually through the summer and reach a low level before salt applications are resumed again the following winter.

Chloride measurements are generally proportional to total soluble salts measurements. Sodium ion concentrations, however, appear to establish a pattern somewhat different than the total salts.

Further work is required to define the seasonal pattern of changes in soil salinity more carefully and to ascertain whether the salt levels decrease to their former level over the summer season. Rates of movement of salts through soils of all types must be known in order to better understand the patterns observed in certain depth profiles, and to be able to predict the concentrations which will result at various depths and distances from the road. In order to answer the question of how much salt may be

applied in any specified situation without causing damage, answers must be obtained. Soil type, drainage patterns, salt application rates, infiltration rates, frequency of salt and water application, and frost conditions are all involved in the problem. At present even the most simple cases involving salt applications to unfrozen ground are not entirely understood.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Lacasse, N. L. and Rich, A. E., "Maple Decline in New Hampshire", Phytopathology, 54 (Sept. 1964), 1071-1075.
2. Button, E. F., "Preliminary Discussion of the Effect of Sodium Chloride and Calcium Chloride Upon Soils and Vegetation", Division of Research and Development, Connecticut State Highway Department (August 1964).
3. Wadleigh, C. H., "Root Penetration and Moisture Extraction in Saline Soil by Corp Plants", Soil Science, 63 (May 1947), 341-349.
4. Jackson, M. L., Soil Chemical Analysis, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs (1964).
5. Groenewegen, H., "Relation Between Chloride Accumulation and Soil Permeability", Soil Science, 87 (May 1959), 283-288.
6. Holmes, F. W., "Salt Injury to Trees", Phytopathology, 51, (October 1961), 712-718.
7. Kaufman, W. J., "Inorganic Chemical Contamination of Ground Water", Ground Water Contamination, Proceedings of the 1961 Symposium, U.S. Public Health Service, Technical Report W61-5.
8. Lawnon, L. F., "A Field Method for Analyzing the Salinity Problem on Irrigated Lands", Soil Science, 67 (April 1949), 299-305.
9. U.S. Public Health Service, Drinking Water Standards, Government Printing Office, Washington (1962).
10. Button, E. F., "Influence of Rock Salt Used for Highway Ice Control on Mature Sugar Maples at One Location in Central Connecticut", Division of Research and Development, Connecticut State Highway Department (October 1964).
11. Stoeckeler, J. W. and Weitzman, S., "Infiltration Rates in Frozen Soils in N. Minnesota", Soil Science Society American Proceedings, 24 (March 1960), 137-139.
12. Qayyum, M. A., "Salt Concentration Gradients in Soils and Their Effects on Moisture Movement and Evaporation", Soil Science, 93 (May 1962), 333-342.
13. "Analytical Methods Used for the Testing of Farmer, Greenhouse, Turf and Home Garden Soils", Soil Testing Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University (January 1964).

14. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 12th Edition, American Public Health Association, Inc., New York (1965).
15. Bower, C. A., "Sodium Electrode and its Use for Salinity Investigations", 7th International Congress of Soil Science, Madison, Wisconsin (1960).
16. Fehrenbacher, J. B., "Comparison of Electrode and Flame Photometer Methods for Sodium Analysis of Soil Water", Soil Science Society American Proceedings, 27 (March 1963), 152-153.
17. "Analytical Methods Used for the Testing of Farmers Samples", Soil Testing Division, Department of Agriculture, Raleigh, North Carolina (August 1958).
18. Miller, R. I., Biggar, J. W. and Nielson, D. R., "Chloride Displacement in Panoche Clay Loam in Relation to Water Movement and Distribution", Water Resources Research, 1 (First Quarter 1965), 63-73.

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX A

Total Soluble Salt Analysis

A Betz Conducto-Bridge, Model X 50, was used to measure the conductance of a soil extract solution. This instrument has two ranges of conductance which may be used. The lower range, which indicates zero to 150 micromhos per centimeter, was used since the salt concentrations found were relatively low.

Calibration of Conductivity Meter

Prepare a series of sodium chloride solutions of known concentration. Determine the meter conductance reading corresponding to each of these concentrations according to the procedure given in the Instrument Operation paragraph. Construct a graph of conductance, micromhos per centimeter, versus concentration, mg/l NaCl. The instrument should be recalibrated periodically.

If the black coating of the electrodes becomes thin or chipped, the instrument readings will not be reliable. The electrodes may be renewed by replatinizing. This procedure is described in the Conducto-Bridge Instruction Manual.

Preparation of Soil Samples¹³

Weigh out 50 grams of soil which has been passed through a 2 mm sieve. Place this sample in a 250 ml erlenmeyer flask and add 100 ml distilled water. Agitate this mixture with an Eberbach shaker for 20

minutes and then allow 20 minutes for clarification. Filter the supernatant through Whatman's No. 1 filter paper. The filtrate conductance is then measured using the Conducto-Bridge.

Use of the Conductivity Meter

Measure the temperature of the sample and set the temperature compensating dial on the meter. Set the conductivity range for the low readings. Immerse the electrode so that the perforations in the casing are submerged. Adjust the scope to the largest dark band and record the observed conductivity reading. Convert this reading to total salt concentration, as mg/l NaCl, with the aid of the calibration chart. This value can be related to the soil as ppm by multiplying by two. Rinse the electrode well with distilled water between readings.

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX B

Sodium Concentration Measurement

A Beckman Zeromatic pH Meter may be modified to measure sodium electrometrically by replacing the hydrogen sensitive electrode with a Beckman 39137 Cationic Electrode. The cationic electrode, which is sensitive to sodium, was paired with a standard calomel reference electrode. The "sodium meter" was operated to measure millivolts over the zero to 1400 mv range. The procedure for accomplishing this is stated in the pH meter instruction manual.

Calibration of the Sodium Electrode

The electrode actually measures ionic activity and not concentration. Therefore, the electrode calibration must cover the range of concentrations that are to be measured. The pH of the calibration standards should be the same as that to which the soil sample extracts are to be adjusted.

Prepare a series of standard sodium chloride solutions. A ten-fold dilution factor between standards is convenient. Solutions of 0.1 to 0.0001 Molar sodium were used and the pH of each dilution was adjusted to 10.

Immerse the electrodes in each of the standard solutions and record the observed millivolt readings. Construct a calibration curve by plotting millivolts versus the sodium ion concentration of each standard solution.

Preparation of Soil Samples¹⁷

The sodium must be extracted from the soil with an acid solution. Prepare an extracting solution consisting of 12 ml concentrated sulfuric acid, 73 ml hydrochloric acid, and sufficient distilled water to make eighteen liters.

Place 20 grams of soil which has been passed through a 2 mm seive in a 250 ml erlenmeyer flask. Add 80 ml of extracting solution and about 0.8 grams activated carbon. Agitate this mixture on an Eberbach shaker for five minutes and filter through Whatman's No. 1 filter paper.

Immerse the electrodes in the filtrate and measure the sodium ion response in millivolts. With the aid of the calibration chart convert the millivolts reading to sodium ion concentration, as mg/l Na. This value can be related to the soil as ppm by multiplying by four. Rinse the meter electrodes thoroughly with distilled water between measurements.

APPENDIX C

TABLE C-1

Chloride Concentration, mg Cl⁻ per Kg Soil (ppm)

Date	Depth, feet				
	Top	0.5	1	2	3
5 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66					
1-13-66	No samples taken from this location until 2-10-66				
1-24-66					
1-28-66					
2-10-66	204	136	27	88	60
2-17-66	34	51	50	98	36
2-22-66	64	46	13	66	34
2-24-66	12	28	12	71	12
3- 1-66	47	24	18	20	87
3- 9-66	55	17	30	86	24
3-15-66	20	30	30	29	27
3-23-66	55	44	22	20	38
3-29-66	19	22	24	56	27
4-12-66	28	38	20	39	17
4-27-66	22	25	18	10	22
9-27-66	10	12	17	18	27
10- 4-66	12	18	12	14	12
10- 6-66	20	16	12	20	20
25 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66	48	41	12	16	8
1-13-66	36	24	11	12	13
1-24-66	105	40	-	-	-
1-28-66	104	20	28	12	13
2-10-66	16	15	18	15	9
2-17-66	14	28	16	25	14
2-22-66	16	10	14	16	14
2-24-66	17	12	12	14	10
3- 1-66	18	10	14	12	16
3- 9-66	12	8	8	8	9
3-15-66	16	10	10	8	8
3-23-66	20	16	14	12	8
3-29-66	18	12	12	10	8
4-12-66	18	12	14	12	12
4-27-66	26	12	11	16	14
9-27-66	8	18	8	7	6
10- 4-66	13	12	8	12	16
10- 6-66	14	10	16	14	12

TABLE C-2 (continued)

Date	Depth, feet				
	Top	0.5	1.0	2.0	3.0
50 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66	-	6.6	-	-	3.2
1-13-66	23.9	1.2	-	2.0	-
1-24-66	106.0	45.2	-	-	-
1-28-66	172.0	70.0	70.0	14.8	-
2-10-66	106.0	12.0	14.7	106.0	1.5
2-17-66	23.9	2.0	9.2	14.8	3.2
2-22-66	9.2	2.0	6.0	3.2	9.2
2-24-66	54.4	14.8	9.2	1.2	5.6
3- 1-66	9.2	5.5	2.0	3.2	2.0
3- 9-66	14.8	5.5	3.2	6.6	3.2
3-15-66	14.8	9.2	9.2	2.0	5.6
3-23-66	14.8	9.2	9.2	14.8	5.6
3-29-66	14.8	3.2	3.2	9.2	12.0
4-12-66	9.2	5.6	5.6	5.6	-
4-27-66	9.2	9.2	4.4	5.6	3.2
9-27-66	-	-	18.4	8.4	18.4
10- 4-66	-	-	-	8.3	5.1
10- 6-66	23.2	18.4	23.2	23.2	18.4
100 Feet From Edge of Paved Shoulder					
1- 4-66	-	-	14.7	64.4	23.8
1-13-66	-	106.4	39.1	-	-
1-24-66	138.0	59.0	-	-	-
1-28-66	-	49.4	41.2	-	49.4
2-10-66	1.6	23.8	9.2	14.8	106.4
2-17-66	83.0	14.8	5.5	14.8	14.8
2-22-66	9.2	3.2	5.5	5.5	0.8
2-24-66	14.8	5.4	5.4	9.2	12.0
3- 1-66	14.8	3.2	3.2	5.4	3.2
3- 9-66	9.2	9.2	6.6	3.2	9.2
3-15-66	12.0	5.6	6.7	18.4	5.6
3-23-66	23.8	23.8	23.8	9.2	5.6
3-29-66	9.2	3.2	9.2	4.4	3.2
4-12-66	14.8	18.4	5.6	14.8	9.2
4-27-66	4.4	14.8	6.6	14.8	9.2
9-27-66	-	-	18.4	3.2	-
10- 4-66	1.2	-	-	-	1.2
10- 6-66	-	-	-	-	-